Supplemental Material: Coherent control of internal conversion in strong field molecular ionization

Brian Kaufman,1 Tamás Rozgonyi,2,3 Philipp Marquetand,4,5,6 and Thomas Weinacht1

1Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY 11794-3800, USA
2Wigner Research Centre for Physics, P.O. Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary
3Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Magyar tudósok krt. 2, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
4University of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Währinger Str. 17, 1090 Wien, Austria
5Vienna Research Platform on Accelerating Photoreaction Discovery, University of Vienna, Währinger Str. 17, 1090 Wien, Austria
6University of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Data Science @ Uni Vienna, Währinger Str. 29, 1090 Wien, Austria
PHOTOELECTRON SPECTRA FOR DIFFERENT PULSE DURATIONS

Fig. 1 shows the photoelectron spectrum measured for CH$_2$IBr with a short (~10 fs) and long (>30 fs) pulse. The figure illustrates the fact that for a short pulse, the ionization is dominated by D$_1$ due to resonant enhancement through R$_1$. However, due to some mixing between R$_1$ and R$_3$ at the Franck Condon point, there is some ionization to D$_3$. This is in contrast to the measurements for a long pulse, which show ionization to D$_0$, D$_3$, and D$_1$, as a result of non-adiabatic dynamics which couple states R$_1$, R$_3$, and R$_0$ [1].

FIG. 1. CH$_2$IBr photoelectron yield for two different pulse durations normalized to the total number of detected electrons. The three peaks are assigned to ionic states D$_3$ (orange region), D$_1$ (purple region), and D$_0$ (green region) based upon earlier ion-electron coincidence measurements [2].
In this work, we measured the photoelectron yield as a function of pump-probe phase and explored the impact of the pump-probe delay. As mentioned in the main text, the intensity fluctuates as a function of both pump-probe phase and delay which we can compensate for using our pulse shaper. Phase scans with and without intensity compensation are compared in Fig. 2 below. As can be seen from the figure, the phase dependence of the yield for the two ionic states is similar with and without intensity scaling, indicating that the variation in phase is not a simple consequence of the intensity variation.

FIG. 2. CH$_2$IBr photoelectron yield as a function of photoelectron energy and pump-probe phase at a 15fs pump-probe delay. Left figure: no compensation for phase dependent intensity variation. Right figure: intensity variation is compensated, i.e., constant intensity.
In the main text, we fixed the laser locking frequency to the central wavelength of the laser spectrum, 750 nm or 2.51 rads/fs. Here we investigate the effect of varying the locking frequency by measuring the photoelectron yield as a function of relative pump-probe phase at different locking frequencies. We then construct lineouts of the state yield vs. pump-probe phase and extract the phase offset.

Fig. 3 shows the phase offset for states D₁ (purple) and D₃ (orange) as a function of laser locking frequency at fixed delay $\tau = -15$ fs for CH₂IBr. The measurements are fit to a line of the form:

$$\phi_{\text{offset}} = -\tau(\omega_L - \omega_0) + \phi_{\text{molecule}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $\omega_0$ is the central laser frequency and $\phi_{\text{molecule}}$ is the molecular contribution to the measured phase offset.

![FIG. 3. Phase offset, $\phi_{\text{offset}}$, as a function of laser locking frequency, $\omega_L$, measured for a pump-probe delay of $-15$ fs for CH₂IBr. The phase offset is fit to a line with the fit parameters displayed in the legend.](image)
